

#17

INCOMPLETE

Collector: EAAFP MOP11 Reporting Template (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, January 17, 2023 4:42:48 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, January 17, 2023 11:57:37 PM
Time Spent: 07:14:49
IP Address: 120.28.119.223

Page 2: General Information

Q1

Name of Reporting Group

Biodiversity Management Bureau

Q2

Reporting Group

National Governments (Govt)

Q3

Designated EAAFP Focal Point

Name and title	Ms. Natividad Bernardino
Affiliation	OIC Director Biodiversity Management Bureau
P.O. Box/Street address	North Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City
Postal code	1100
E-mail address	cawed@bmb.gov.ph
Website	https://bmb.gov.ph/

Q4

Additional Designated EAAFP Focal Point (Optional)

Name and title	Mr. Anson M. Tagtag
Affiliation	Biodiversity Management Bureau
P.O. Box/Street address	North Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City
Postal code	1100
E-mail address	anson.tagtag@bmb.gov.ph
Telephone	+6329258930
Website	https://bmb.gov.ph

Q5 Respondent skipped this question

Additional Designated EAAFP Focal Point (Optional)

Q6

Report compiler

Name and title	Berhel Doria
Affiliation	BMB-DENR
P.O. Box/Street address	North Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City
Postal code	1100
E-mail address	johnberhel.doria@bmb.gov.ph
Telephone	+6329258929
Website	https://bmb.gov.ph

Page 3: Reporting on the implementation of the EAAFP Strategic Plan 2018 - 2022

Q7 **Planned**

RQ1. (Govt) Do you have a publicly accessible list of internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in your country? If yes, please provide the web link or the reference in the below box. If not, would you like assistance from other Partners to develop such a list (please let us know your opinion in the box right below)?

Q8 **Yes,**

RQ2. (Govt) Have any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds been identified in your country (for background, see EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013)? If yes, please provide details on these sites.

Additional information::

North Manila Bay (Sasmuan wetlands, Pamarawan/Sta Cruz, LPPWP, Balanga City Wetland Park), Lake Mainit, Siay-Kabasalan Wetlands, Candaba Swamp, Agusan Marsh Wildlife Sanctuary

Q9 Respondent skipped this question

RQ3. (Non-Government Partners) Have you documented any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in the EAAF (see EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013)? If yes, please provide details on these sites.

Q10

RQ4. (Govt) Have high priority candidate sites been identified for potential nomination to join the Flyway Site Network? If yes, please provide details on these sites. If not, would you like assistance from other Partners?

Yes,

Additional information::

Manila Bay, Siay Kabasalan, and Agusan Marsh wildlife sanctuary, Lake Mainit

Q11

RQ5. (Govt) Have any additional sites been nominated for the Flyway Site Network since MoP10 (December 2018)? If yes or planned, please provide the names of these sites.

Planned,

Additional information::

Manila Bay, Siay Kabasalan, and Agusan Marsh wildlife sanctuary, Lake Mainit

Q12

RQ6. (INGO, Corporate) Have you supported Government Partners with their identification of high priority candidate sites for the potential nomination of the new Flyway Site Network? If yes, please provide details of your support and the associated sites.

Respondent skipped this question

Q13

RQ7. (Govt) How many additional Flyway Network Sites do you anticipate there will be in your country by 2025?

The number of expected new FNS

4

Additional information:

These are: North Manila Bay (Sasmuan wetlands, Pamarawan/Sta Cruz, LPPWP, Balanga City Wetland Park), Lake Mainit, Siay-Kabasalan Wetlands, Candaba Swamp, Agusan Marsh Wildlife Sanctuary

Page 5

Q14

RQ8. (Govt) Which Flyway Network Sites (FNS) in your country have a Management Plan and when is it due to be updated?

Tubbataha Reef Natural Park, recently updated in 2022

Naujan Lake Natural Park

Negros Occident Wetlands and Conservation Area

Olango Island Ildlife Sanctuary

Q15

RQ9. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Please provide examples of how the “Flyway Site Network” brand is being recognized.

"Flyway Site Network" brand is being recognize in the promotion of sites for eco- tourism . The brand is evident in promotional and education materials

Q16

RQ10. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Have any public consultation processes been implemented when a site of international importance for migratory waterbirds could be adversely impacted by a proposed development? If yes, please provide brief details on the site/s and if the development was approved.

Yes,

Additional information::

In the case of Negros Occidental wetland conservation area, public consultation were undertaken for the proposed construction of an island bridge that would pass through the site. Public consultation provided opportunity to prescribed mitigation measures to minimize impacts especially during construction.

Q17

RQ11. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Please provide brief details on any sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds that may be adversely impacted by a proposed development and the assessment process that was used or is anticipated to be applied.

Las Pinas Paranaque Wetland Park (Manila Bay) for several proposed reclamation projects.

Q18

RQ12. (Govt, INGO) In your country, are there examples of local communities at Flyway Network sites that are dependent on the sites natural resources to support subsistence livelihoods? If yes, please provide details on the site/s and the use of natural resources.

Yes,

Additional information::

NOCWCA -communities are dependent for fishery resources
Olango Island - Fishery resources and tourism
Naujan Lake - fishery resources

Q19

RQ13. (Govt, INGO, Sec.) Are you aware of any Flyway Network Sites or other sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds that are currently under threat? If yes, please provide details.

Yes,

Additional information::

Candaba swamp - largely disposed as titled properties and transformed for agricultural use. Remnant habitat are threatened by agricultural expansion or further transformation to land for industrial uses. Panabo wetlands- largely an aquaculture areas

Q20

RQ14. (Sec.) Please provide a list of new Partners since the last MoP (December 2018).

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6

Q21

RQ15. (Partners, TF/WG) Does your country/organisation have a CEPA Program addressing migratory waterbirds and internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds? If yes, please provide brief details of the program.

Yes

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

RQ16. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your country/organisation made use of the EAAFP CEPA Action Plan 2019-2024 when planning and implementing the CEPA activities?

Q23

Respondent skipped this question

RQ17. (Govt, INGO, Corporate) What CEPA activities have taken place at Flyway Network Sites and with which groups? If applicable (under a Sister Site agreement), please describe what have you done and who have you worked with.

Q24

Respondent skipped this question

RQ18. (Partners) Has your country/organisation developed, and/or been implementing awareness-raising programs, particularly at Flyway Network Sites, with the following groups (check all that apply)?

Q25

Respondent skipped this question

RQ19. (Partners) Has your country/organisation hosted events for World Migratory Bird Day, World Wetlands Day or other international awareness-raising events since the last MOP (December 2018)?

Q26

Respondent skipped this question

RQ20. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organisation/group been engaging the public regularly through any media channels, including social media, to promote the conservation of migratory waterbirds and the wetlands they use? If yes, please specify the type of media channels by marking boxes that apply.

Q27

Respondent skipped this question

RQ21. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG) Has there been any training or capacity building delivered to stakeholders involved in the conservation of migratory birds and wetlands? If yes, please specify the audience/participants by marking the boxes that apply.

Q28

Respondent skipped this question

RQ22. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG) Please add below if your country/organisation has any other information regarding CEPA to report.

Page 7

Q29 Respondent skipped this question

RQ23. (Govt) Is there a program in your country to monitor migratory waterbird numbers? If yes, please provide details on the program, the role of volunteer counters and the monitoring efforts since MoP10 (December 2018).

Q30 Respondent skipped this question

RQ24. (INGO) In what countries is your organisation involved in migratory waterbird and/or site monitoring (select all that apply)? Please provide details on the monitoring program(s) and monitoring efforts since MoP10 (December 2018).

Q31 Respondent skipped this question

RQ25. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG, Sec.) Please report briefly on data management in relation to migratory waterbird population estimates, trends and distributions.

Q32 Respondent skipped this question

RQ26. (Partners, TF/WG, Sec.) Please report on your contribution to the migratory waterbird Conservation Status Review.

Q33 Respondent skipped this question

RQ27. (Partners, Monitoring TF, Sec.) If you are aware of significant new information on internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds, please provide brief details.

Q34 Respondent skipped this question

RQ28. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide details on key research on climate change impacts on migratory waterbirds and wetlands in the EAAF, published since MoP 10 (December 2018). (Please provide the web links if available online or reference for relevant publications)

Q35 Respondent skipped this question

RQ29. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide brief information on areas of research programs since the last MoP (2018) about improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

Q36

Respondent skipped this question

RQ30. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please give examples of how knowledge generated through research programs on improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes is being applied at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

Q37

Respondent skipped this question

RQ31. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide brief details on the development and application of best practice guidelines for waterbird and habitat conservation, including the application of traditional knowledge, published/made available since MoP10 (December 2018)?

Q38

Respondent skipped this question

RQ32. (Sec.) What are the best practice guidelines that are available on the EAAFP website?

Page 8

Q39

Respondent skipped this question

RQ33. (Sec.) Please provide updates on identifying/developing internet-based approaches for capacity building for migratory waterbird conservation.

Q40

Respondent skipped this question

RQ34. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you been involved in identifying/developing capacity building materials and opportunities? If yes, please provide some details.

Q41

Respondent skipped this question

RQ35. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you implemented activities to share skills building, tools and experience?

Q42

Respondent skipped this question

RQ36. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Please provide feedback on the use you have made of capacity building materials and activities for migratory waterbirds and the management of their habitat?

Q43

Respondent skipped this question

RQ37. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you considered a training needs assessment in projects you have developed, funded, and/or implemented since MoP10 (December 2018)? If yes, please provide some additional information.

Q44

Respondent skipped this question

RQ38. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you used the EAAFP online technical training materials for Flyway Site management? Please provide some additional information on the usefulness of the materials.

Q45

Respondent skipped this question

RQ39. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you been able to participate in any Meetings of Partner Focal Points? If yes, have any new collaborations with other Partners been developed from the meeting/s? Please provide details.

Q46

Respondent skipped this question

RQ40. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you been able to prepare your Partner report for the MoP? Have you found any difficulties in producing your report?

Q47

Respondent skipped this question

RQ41. (Partners, TF/WG) Please provide details you have on corporate engagement at internationally important sites and in programs to develop positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

Page 9

Q48

Respondent skipped this question

RQ42. (Partners, TF/WG) Please provide brief details on your transboundary involvement in international collaborative initiatives for threatened migratory waterbirds.

Q49

Respondent skipped this question

RQ43. (Partners, TF/WG) What do you consider to be the key innovative and/or improved approaches to the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats since MoP10 (December 2018)?

Q50

Respondent skipped this question

RQ44. (INGO, TF/WG, Sec.) Please provide information on the development of a list of threatened migratory waterbird populations in the EAAF in which you have been involved.

Q51

Respondent skipped this question

RQ45. (Govt) Which populations of threatened migratory waterbirds are protected under legislation in your country?

Q52

Respondent skipped this question

RQ46. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organization been involved in taking actions to reduce direct threats to migratory waterbirds? If yes, please provide some examples.

Q53

Respondent skipped this question

RQ47. (Partners, TF/WG) Please outline the contribution you have made to the development and implementation of Threatened Species Action Plans.

Q54

Respondent skipped this question

RQ48. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organization been involved in any program(s) to assess changes in the status of populations of threatened waterbirds? If yes, please provide details.

Q55

Respondent skipped this question

RQ49. (Partners, TF/WG) What has been your involvement in the development and implementation of Regional Action Plans?

Q56

Respondent skipped this question

RQ50. (Govt, TF on Task Force on Illegal Hunting, Taking and Trade of Migratory Waterbirds) What mechanisms are in place to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds?

Q57

Respondent skipped this question

RQ51. (Govt) In your country, what are the current key national legislation and policy instruments that have provisions that cover the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats?

Q58

Respondent skipped this question

RQ52. (Govt) In your country, what are the current multilateral regional and bilateral agreements and other regional mechanisms that include provisions on the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats?

Q59

Respondent skipped this question

RQ53. (Partners, TFWG) Please provide any suggestions you have on how existing multilateral regional and bilateral agreements, and other regional mechanisms, could be strengthened to deliver better outcomes for migratory waterbirds.
