

EAST ASIAN - AUSTRALASIAN FLYWAY PARTNERSHIP

3F G-Tower, 175 Art center-daero, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon 22004 Republic of Korea

Phone: +82 (0)32 458 6501 | Fax: +82 (0)32 458 6508 Email: secretariat@eaaflyway.net | www.eaaflyway.net

EAAFP MoP11 Reporting Template

Dear Partner,

At EAAFP MoP 10 in Hainan, China (2018), the Partnership adopted the EAAFP Strategic Plan 2019-2028. The Paper (Decision 1) included the proposed Reporting Template for MoP11. The purpose of the Reporting Template is to assess the achievement of specific actions identified in the EAAFP Strategic Plan 2019-2028.

The "Reporting Questions" are linked to Key Result Areas to enable an assessment of progress with the implementation of each element in the Strategic Plan. Indicators have been provided to facilitate reporting and access our achievements.

The Strategic Plan has the following attributes:

5 Objectives (as listed in the Partnership Document),

23 Key Result Areas (KRAs),

35 Indicators.

The reporting template has headings in three colors: Red (Partnership Objectives), Green (Key Result Areas identified in the Strategic Plan) and Blue (Indicators). The wording of the Objectives, Key Result Areas and Indicators were all accepted at MoP10.

Different Partner Groups, Working Groups, Task Forces, the Technical Sub-Committee and the Secretariat have differing roles and responsibilities. As such, each question identifies the Partner groups that are requested to respond to each question. Please focus on the questions that relate to the Reporting Group you are representing.

While the total number of questions is 53, the number of questions for each Partner Group, and each mechanism of the Partnership, is shown below:

Government	46 Questions (87%)
IGO	30 Questions (57%)
INGO	40 Questions (75%)
Corporate	29 Questions (55%)
Task Forces and Working groups	29 Questions (55%)
Technical sub-Committee	11 Questions (21%)
Secretariat	15 Questions (28%)

This Reporting Template has been sent to the Focal Point of each Partner, the Chair and Vice-Chair of each Working Group and Taskforce, the Chair of the Technical sub-Committee and the Secretariat.

Thank you,

Doug Watkins, Chief Executive, EAAFP Secretariat

The EAAFP Reporting Questions

General Information

1. Name of	International Crane Fo	oundation	
Reporting			
Group			
2. Reporting	☐ National Governments (Govt)		
Group	☐ Inter-Governmental Organizations (IGO)		
	☑ International Non-Governmental Organizations (INGO)		
	☐ International Corporate (Corporate)		
	☐ Task Forces and Working Groups (TF/WG)		
	☐ Technical Sub-Committee (TsC)		
	□ Secretariat (Sec.)		
		ecify:)	
3-1.	Name and title	: Spike Millington	
Designa	Affiliation	: International Crane Foundation	
ted	P.O. Box/Street	: E11376 Shady Lane Rd. P.O.Box 447, Baraboo,	
EAAFP	address	WI 53913 USA	
Focal	Postal Code	:	
Point	E-mail address	: spike@savingcranes.org	
	Telephone	: 1-608-443-8896	
	Website	: www.savingcranes.org	
3-2.	Name and title	:	
Additional	Affiliation		
Designated	P.O. Box/Street		
EAAFP Focal	address		
Point	Postal Code		
(Optional)	E-mail address		
	Telephone		
	Website	:	
3-3.	Name and title	:	
Additional	Affiliation	:	
Designated	P.O. Box/Street	:	
EAAFP Focal	address		
Point	Postal Code		
(Optional)	E-mail address		
	Telephone		
	Website		
4. Report	Name and title	: Spike Millington	
compiler	Affiliation	: International Crane	
		Foundation	
	P.O. Box/Street	: E11376 Shady Lane	
	address	Rd. P.O.Box 447,	
		Baraboo, WI 53913 USA	
	E mail add		
	E-mail address		

	spike@savingcranes.org
Telephone	: 1-608-443-8896
Website	: www.savingcranes.org

Reporting on the implementation of the EAAFP Strategic Plan 2018 - 2022

*Note: In the Reporting Template the term "Partners" includes Government, IGO, INGO, and Corporate Partners.

Objective 1 Develop the Flyway Network of sites of international importance for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, building on the achievements of the Asia-Pacific Migratory Waterbird Conservation Strategy, with the ultimate goal of establishing a sufficient and efficient network of sites with sustainable management. (FNS page: https://www.eaaflyway.net/the-flyway/flyway-site-network/)

- Supplementary information: <u>EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013</u>, <u>EAAFP Strategic Plan 2019-2028</u>

KRA 1.1 A comprehensive and coherent Flyway Network of Sites is developed for migratory waterbirds, including sites that are not currently Protected Areas.

Indicator 1.1.1 The Flyway Site Network has expanded to include at least 40 additional strategic internationally important sites for migratory waterbird conservation, some of which may not currently be a national Protected Area.

RQ1. (Govt) Do you have a publicly accessible list of internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in your country? If yes, please provide the web link or the reference in the below box. If not, would you like assistance from other Partners to develop such a list (please let us know your opinion in the box right below)?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Planned
Additional information:	
RQ2. (Govt) Have any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds been identified in your country? (for background, see <u>EAA Flyway</u>	☐ Yes
Network Sites Overview Report 2013) If yes, please provide details on these sites.	☐ No ☐ Planned
Additional information:	
RQ3. (Non-Government Partners) Have you documented any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in the EAAF (see EAA Flyway Metwork Sites Overview Report 2013)?	☑ Yes☐ No☐ Planned
If yes, please provide details on these sites. Additional information: Momoge and Tumuji NNRs in China for Siberian Cranes, Junam Resrvoir, ROK, potential NE China and SE Russia, Duolun for WN Cranes	I sites for Hooded Crane in
RQ4. (Govt) Have high priority candidate sites been identified for potential nomination to join the Flyway Site Network?	☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please provide details on these sites. If not, would you like assistance from other Partners?	☐ Planned
Additional information:	
RQ5. (Govt) Have any additional sites been nominated for the Flyway Site Network	☐ Yes
since MoP10 (December 2018)?	□ No
If yes or planned, please provide the names of these sites. Additional information:	☐ Planned
Additional information.	
RQ6. (INGO, Corporate) Have you supported Government Partners with their	
identification of high priority candidate sites for the potential nomination of the new	⊠ Yes
Flyway Site Network?	□ No
If yes, please provide details of your support and the associated sites.	☐ Planned
Additional information:	
Develop a Flyway Site Network for Siberian Cranes and White-naped Cranes in Russia,	Mongolia, China and Korea
RQ7. (Govt) How many additional Flyway Network Sites do you anticipate there will	site(s)
be in your country by 2025?	(-)
Additional information:	
KRA 1.2 National and Site Partnerships have been developed to coordinate the implementational and local levels. Indicator 1.2.1 Guidelines for the establishment and operation of national and site partnerships.	
developed and agreed.	
Indicator 1.2.2 At least 50% of Government Partners have an active National Partnershipheen developed for at least 50% of the Flyway Network sites.	p and site partnerships have
Guidelines on National and Site Partnership will be presented for adoption at MoP11. As will start for MOP12.	s such reporting on this KRA
KRA 1.3 Flyway Network Sites are valued by the community and sustainably managed.	
Indicator 1.3.1 At least 50% of Flyway Network Sites have current management plans the for the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats and that are being adeq Management plans have stakeholder participation and are approved by relevant agencing the conservation of the	uately implemented.
RQ8. (Govt) Which Flyway Network Sites (FNS) in your country have a Management Plaupdated?	an and when is it due to be
Your Response:	

Indicator 1.3.2 At least 50% of Flyway Network Sites recognize the Flyway Site Network as a brand for the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats in the EAAF.

RQ9. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Please provide examples of how the "Flyway Site Network" brand is being recognized.		
Your Response: We are working to do this for sister-site network in NE Asia, with Yakutia gov't and China gov't		
(national and provincial)		
Indicator 1.3.3 All Partners are using and complying with International standards (International	ational Finance Cooperation	
or equivalent) for development within and adjacent to FNS and other internationally im		
RQ10. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Have any public consultation processes been implemented	⊠ Yes	
when a site of international importance for migratory waterbirds could be adversely	□ No	
impacted by a proposed development?	☐ Planned	
If yes, please provide brief details on the site/s and if the development was	☐ Not known	
approved.		
Additional information:		
Planned dam at Poyang Lake		
RQ11. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Please provide brief details on any sites of international impo	rtance for migratory	
waterbirds that may be adversely impacted by a proposed development and the assess	sment process that was	
used or is anticipated to be applied.		
Your Response:		
Planned dam at Poyang Lake, the most important FNS in EAAF, and dam in Mongolia th	reating Torey lakes in	
Russia, reduction of CCZ in RoK		
KRA 1.4 Where appropriate, Flyway Network Sites are being sustainably used to support the local community. Indicator 1.4.1 Where local communities at Flyway Network Sites depend on the natura support subsistence livelihoods, this is occurring without adverse impacts on migratory	I resources of the site to	
RQ12. (Govt, INGO) In your country, are there examples of local communities at	⊠ Yes	
Flyway Network sites that are dependent on the sites natural resources to support	□ No	
subsistence livelihoods?		
If yes, please provide details on the site/s and the use of natural resources.	☐ Planned	
	☐ Not known	
Additional information:		
Yes, nearly all sites in NE Asia		
KRA 1.5 Partners and local stakeholders are engaged in responding to activities which m sites.	ay threaten Flyway Network	
Indicator 1.5.1 The level of engagement of EAAFP Partners and local communities in res	ponding to threats to Flyway	
Network Sites is reflected in the number of meetings and events held and the participar		
RQ13. (Govt, INGO, Sec.) Are you aware of any Flyway Network Sites or other sites of	☐ Yes	
international importance for migratory waterbirds that are currently under threat? If yes, please provide details.	□ No	
	☐ Planned	
Additional information:		
Poyang Lake in China, Torey Lakes in Russia, Cheorwon in Rok		

KRA 1.6 The EAAFP Sister Site Programme has expanded.

Indicator 1.6.1 At least five new EAAFP Sister Site relationships have been developed.

Guidelines on Sister Site will be presented for adoption at MoP11. As such reporting on this KRA will start for MOP 12.

KRA 1.7 The membership of the EAAFP has expanded to deliver stronger outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

Indicator 1.7.1 Membership has increased

mulcator 1.7.1 Wembership has increased.		
RQ14. (Sec.) Please provide a list of new Partners since the last MoP (December 2018).		
Your Response:		
Objective 2 Enhance communication, education, participation and	l nublic awaranass (CE	:DA) of the values of
migratory waterbirds and their habitats.	i public awareness (CE	.rA) of the values of
•	roguand Action Dlan (2010 2024)
KRA 2.1 The achievement of the elements in the EAAFP CEPA Strat	legy and Action Plan (2019-2024).
Indicator 2.1.1 The CEPA Action Plan has been monitored, reviewe	ed and updated as ned	essary to inform the EAAFP.
RQ15. (Partners, TF/WG) Does your country/organisation have a	CEPA Program	☐ Yes
addressing migratory waterbirds and internationally important si	tes for migratory	□ No
waterbirds?		☐ Planned
If yes, please provide brief details of the program.		
Additional information (website links if any):		
RQ16. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your country/organisation made us		☐ Yes
CEPA Action Plan 2019-2024 when planning and implementing the	ne CEPA activities?	□ No
		☐ Planned
Additional information (any example of how the Action Plan was	reflected):	
RQ17. (Govt, INGO, Corporate) What CEPA activities have taken p	olace at Flyway	☐ Applicable
Network Sites and with which groups?		☐ Not applicable
If applicable (under a Sister Site agreement), please describe what have you done		☐ Planned
and who have you worked with.		
Additional information (list of events and/or news/report links):		
At many sites with different groups, e.g. Mongolia Khurk Valley, P	oyang lake, China and	l other crane FNS
RQ18. (Partners) Has your country/organisation developed,	National and loc National and loc	al governments
and/or been implementing awareness-raising programs,	⋈ Education Depa	rtment/Ministry
particularly at Flyway Network Sites, with the following groups	⊠ Site managers	
(check all that apply)?	⊠ General public	
		S

☐ Native/indigenous communities		
□ None		
☐ Planned		
Additional information (please provide a detailed description of the	ne program(s) includii	ng target groups, aims, and
major achievements): See above		
RQ19. (Partners) Has your country/organisation hosted events for	World Migratory	⊠ Yes
Bird Day, World Wetlands Day or other international awareness-ra	aising events since	□ No
the last MOP (December 2018)?		☐ Planned
Additional information (list of events and any news/report links):		
In China and Mongolia		
RQ20. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organisation/group been		organization/group
engaging the public regularly through any media channels,	Newsletter of your control in the control	ur organization/group
including social media, to promote the conservation of	•	
migratory waterbirds and the wetlands they use?	Social media (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, Weibo, YouTube, and others)	
If yes, please specify the type of media channels by marking	☐ Contribution to EAAFP eNewsletter	
boxes that apply.		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		ecify:)
	□ No	
Additional information (links to media channels of your organization/group, the number of posts, and the number		
of views):		
RQ21. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG) Has there been any training or	⊠ Site managers	
capacity building delivered to stakeholders involved in the	⊠ Government offi	cers
conservation of migratory birds and wetlands?	⊠ Educators	
If yes, please specify the audience/participants by marking the	☐ Citizen	
boxes that apply.	☐ Other (please spe	ecify:)
	□ No	
Additional information (please provide the number of events and	participants, and des	cribe any materials or other
resources about the capacity building):		
ICF works with teachers in China and at varying levels with gov't o	officials and site mana	gers
, , ,		-
RQ22. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG) Please add below if your country/org	anisation has any oth	er information regarding
CEPA to report.		
Your Response: ICF has a Flyway Education Project in NE Asia for c	rane flyways	

Objective 3 Enhance flyway research and monitoring activities, build knowledge and promote exchange of information on waterbirds and their habitats.

KRA 3.1 National monitoring systems to assess the status of migratory waterbirds and their habitats are established, maintained and further enhanced.

Indicator 3.1.1 A standardized monitoring methodology for migratory waterbirds and their habitat is developed and

used in nationally coordinated monitoring programmes.

RQ23. (Govt) Is there a program in your country to numbers?	☐ Yes	
		□ No
If yes, please provide details on the program, the role of volunteer counters and the		☐ Planned
monitoring efforts since MoP10 (December 2018).		
Additional information:		
RQ24. (INGO) In what countries is your	☐ Australia	
organisation involved in migratory waterbird	☐ Indonesia	
and/or site monitoring (select all that apply)?	⊠ Japan	☐ Bangladesh
Please provide details on the monitoring	☐ Philippines	☐ Thailand
program(s) and monitoring efforts since MoP10	☐ Republic of Korea	
(December 2018).	☐ Democratic People's	☐ New Zealand
	Republic of Korea	☐ Malaysia
	⊠ Russia	✓ Myanmar
	☐ Singapore	□ Viyaninar □ Viet Nam □
	☐ United States of America	
	☐ Officed States of America	□ None
Additional information:		
Indicator 3.1.2 All country partners have nationally-coordinated monitoring programs in place.		
KRA 3.2 Conservation status reviews for waterbird pe	onulations are produced and und	ated to set and adapt priorities
for action.	opalations are produced and apa	ated to set and daupt priorities
Indicator 3.2.1 Data describing waterbird population	estimates, trends and distribution	ons are available to the
Partnership.		
RQ25. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG, Sec.) Please report brid	efly on data management in relati	ion to migratory waterbird
population estimates, trends and distributions.	,	,
Your Response: Regular surveys for crane species at	t stopover and wintering sites	
,	,	
Indicates 2.2.2 Two undeter of waterbird no mulations		له م ما منا ما ب من ام من
Indicator 3.2.2 Two updates of waterbird population	resumates have been produced a	na publishea.
RQ26. (Partners, TF/WG, Sec.) Please report on your contribution to the migratory waterbird Conservation Status		
Review.		
Your Response: Input to CSR for cranes		

KRA 3.3 Updated list of sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds for conservation management and prioritization.

Indicator 3.3.1 An updated list of sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds for conservation management and prioritization will be maintained by the Partnership.

RQ27. (Partners, Monitoring TF, Sec.) If you are aware of significant new information on internationally important

sites for migratory waterbirds, please provide brief details.

Your Response: To some extent, but not sure how new, e.g. Duolun in China as a critical stopover site for Whitenaped Cranes

KRA 3.4 A stronger understanding is developed on the anticipated impacts of climate change on waterbirds and their habitats and this is informing planning and site management.

Indicator 3.4.1 Improved knowledge about threats, including climate change impacts, on waterbirds and their habitats is shared and appropriate action taken where possible.

RQ28. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide details on key research on climate change impacts on migratory waterbirds and wetlands in the EAAF, published since MoP 10 (December 2018).

Your Response (please provide the web links if available online or reference for relevant publications): Not through scientific publications, but more in newsletters, etc.

KRA 3.5 Collaborative research programs are established to provide effective support for conservation and sustainable management efforts, particularly the sustainable use of resources for local livelihoods benefits.

Indicator 3.5.1 Research programs on improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes have increased.

RQ29. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide brief information on areas of research programs since the last MoP (2018) about improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

Your Response: Not sure if updated, since much of this was ongoing at MOP10, but work in NE Asia has continued and intensified for all crane species

Indicator 3.5.2 Knowledge generated is being applied in at least 50% of internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

RQ30. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please give examples of how knowledge generated through research programs on improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes is being applied at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

Your Response: Identification of habitat use patterns, status and distribution of cranes and feeding preferences at key sites for crane species in NE Asia. Predicting availability of natural food plants at Poyang Lake and also stopover sites has led to identifying and promoting critical backup sites in agricultural landscapes.

KRA 3.6 Best practice guidelines for waterbird and habitat conservation programs, including the incorporation of traditional knowledge, are developed and made available.

Indicator 3.6.1 Best practice guidelines are available on the EAAFP website.

RQ31. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide brief details on the development and application of best practice guidelines for waterbird and habitat conservation, including the application of traditional knowledge, published/made available since MoP10 (December 2018)?

Your Response: Guidelines for international best practice for wetland management for cranes, particularly in China

through cooperation with USGS and USFWS ongoing. ICF has a China staff member Masters student studying this in US for future application in China		
DO22 (Can) What are the best presting guidelines that are socileble as the FAAFD web	-:?	
RQ32. (Sec.) What are the best practice guidelines that are available on the EAAFP web Your Response:	siter	
Objective 4 Build the habitat and waterbird management capacity of natural resource mand local stakeholders.	nanagers, decision makers	
KRA 4.1 EAAFP promotes the use of the range of available training tools and provides as challenges at Flyway Network Sites.	sistance to address	
Indicator 4.1.1 All Partners and Secretariat have mechanisms for capacity building in place to facilitate the sharing of knowledge, tools and experience.		
RQ33. (Sec.) Please provide updates on identifying/developing internet-based approach migratory waterbird conservation.	hes for capacity building for	
Your Response:		
	_	
RQ34. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you been involved in identifying/developing	⊠ Yes	
capacity building materials and opportunities? If yes, please provide some details.	□ No □ Planned	
Additional information: Some survey techniques	Li France	
RQ35. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you implemented activities to share skills	⊠ Yes	
building, tools and experience?	□ No	
If yes, please provide some details.	☐ Planned	
Additional information: Cooperation within and between countries and sites along crar	ne flyways in NE Asia	
RQ36. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Please provide feedback on the use you have made of capacity building materials and activities for migratory waterbirds and the management of their habitat?		
Your Response:		
Indicator 4.1.2 Partners and the Secretariat include capacity building assessment in project proposals.		
RQ37. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you considered a training needs assessment	☐ Yes	
in projects you have developed, funded, and/or implemented since MoP10	⊠ No	
(December 2018)?	☐ Not applicable	
If yes, please provide some additional information.	☐ Planned	
Additional information:		

Indicator 4.1.3 The EAAFP online technical training manual for Flyway Site management is supported and used by at least 50% of Flyway Site Managers.

RQ38. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you used the EAAFP online technical	□ Yes
training materials for Flyway Site management? Please provide some additional	☑ No☐ Planned
information on the usefulness of materials. Additional information:	
Additional mornation.	

KRA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP objectives has increased.

Indicator 4.2.1 The EAAFP implementation manual for Focal Points is produced and distributed, providing a set of resource materials for EAAFP implementation and awareness.

Indicator 4.2.2 At least one meeting of Partner Focal Points, including site managers, is held per annum.

RQ39. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you been able to participate in any Meetings of Partner Focal Points? If yes, have any new collaborations with other Partners been developed from the meeting/s? Please provide details.	☐ Yes☒ No☐ Planned
Additional information:	

Indicator 4.2.3 All Partner Focal Points are submitting their Partner reports prior to each MoP.

RQ40. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you been able to prepare your Partner report for the MoP? Have you found any difficulties in producing your report?

Your Response: Isn't this what this is?

KRA 4.3 Corporates with operations impacting on migratory waterbirds are engaged in delivering better outcomes for the conservation of waterbirds and their habitats.

Indicator 4.3.1 An increased number of internationally important sites and programmes, in which Corporates are contributing to positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

RQ41. (Partners, TF/WG) Please provide details you have on corporate engagement at internationally important sites and in programs to develop positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

Your Response: Engagement with national corporates is at a country level rather than at individual sites. Disney Corp supports a long-term program on Siberian Cranes in Russia and China.

Objective 5 Develop, especially for priority species and habitats, flyway wide approaches to enhance the conservation status of migratory waterbirds.

KRA 5.1 Partners are actively collaborating to develop approaches to conserve migratory waterbirds and their habitats in the EAAF across national boundaries.

Indicator 5.1.1 At least 50% of Partners are collaborating across national boundaries initiatives for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, particularly for threatened migratory waterbirds.

RQ42. **(Partners, TF/WG)** Please provide brief details on your transboundary involvement in international collaborative initiatives for threatened migratory waterbirds.

Your Response: Following a six-country NE Asia crane meeting in 2018, regular meetings between countries have occurred. For Siberian Cranes and MOU was signed between Yakutia (Russia) and Jiangxi (Poyang Lake FNS) for Siberian Cranes and several meetings have been held subsequently. Similarly, for White-naped Cranes, Mongolia and Chian cooperate on the western population, sharing info and exchanges. ICF has projects on WNC in Mongolia (breeding sites) and Duolun (stopover area), as well as Poyang lake (wintering area). Through Disney project, regular exchange between SC breeding, stopover and wintering areas. Lots of cooperation on tracked birds and synchronized surveys across individual crane flyways.

RQ43. (Partners, TF/WG) What do you consider to be the key innovative and/or improved approaches to the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats since MoP10 (December 2018)?

Your Response: Although not formal, exchange and strengthening of cooperation among crane sites. EAAFP should promote sister site networks, in addition to traditional bilateral sister site relationships. In Mongolia, comanagement of a critical site between Ministry of Tourism and Environment, ICF and WSCC, with an MOU detailing different roles and responsibilities is a significant innovation.

KRA 5.2 Threatened migratory waterbirds are protected from threats and populations are stable or increasing.

Indicator 5.2.1 The Partnership, with leadership from IUCN, BirdLife International & Wetlands International, is updating and maintaining a list of threatened migratory waterbird populations and encouraging Government Partners to protect these threatened populations under national legislation.

RQ44. (INGO, TF/WG, Sec.) Please provide information on the development of a list of waterbird populations in the EAAF in which you have been involved.	threatened migratory	
Your Response: Input for cranes into CSR		
RQ45. (Govt) Which populations of threatened migratory waterbirds are protected under legislation in your		
country?		
Your Response:		
RQ46. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organization been involved in taking actions to	⊠ Yes	
reduce direct threats to migratory waterbirds?	□ No	
If yes, please provide some examples.	☐ Planned	
Additional information: Increasing awareness of poisoning, hunting and habitat loss. Promoting wotland		

Additional information: Increasing awareness of poisoning, hunting and habitat loss. Promoting wetland management to ensure appropriate habitat and food supplies during migratory periods, including alternative sites and foods. Early warning of HPAI impacts on critical populations, especially where there is high risk due to crowding and artificial feeding.

Indicator 5.2.2 Single Species Action Plans are developed and implemented for threatened migratory waterbird species in the EAAF.

RQ47. **(Partners, TF/WG)** Please outline the contribution you have made to the development and implementation of Threatened Species Action Plans.

Your Response: ICF very recently coordinated the meeting of range states for Black-necked Cranes and produced a SSAP.

Indicator 5.2.3 Populations of threatened migratory waterbirds are either stable or increasing.

RQ48. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organization been involved in any program(s) to	⊠ Yes	
assess changes in the status of populations of threatened waterbirds?	□ No	
If yes, please provide details.	☐ Planned	
Additional information: Detailed surveys and monitoring have been strengthened through all crane flyways		

KRA 5.3 Regional Action Plans are developed and implemented for priority geographic regions of the EAAF.

Indicator 5.3.1 Development and implementation of Regional Action Plans for geographical regions with common critical threats in the EAAF.

RQ49. (Partners, TF/WG) What has been your involvement in the development and implementation of Regional Action Plans?

Your Response: Through flyway-wide plans for species and populations of cranes

KRA 5.4 Measures to reduce and, as far as possible eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds are developed and implemented.

Indicator 5.4.1 All Government Partners have mechanisms in place to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds.

RQ50. (Govt, TF on Task Force on Illegal Hunting, Taking and Trade of Migratory Waterbirds) What mechanisms are in place to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds? Your Response:

KRA 5.5 The conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats is mainstreamed into national legislation and/or policy instruments including adaptation to the impacts of climate changes.

Indicator 5.5.1 All Government Partners have relevant national legislation and/or policy instruments include provisions on the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

RQ51. **(Govt)** In your country, what are the current key national legislation and policy instruments that have provisions that cover the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats?

Your Response:

KRA 5.6 The conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats is integrated into relevant multilateral and

bilateral agreements and other regional mechanisms.

Indicator 5.6.1 Relevant environmental agreements recognise the EAAFP as an effective regional framework to conserve migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

RQ52. **(Govt)** In your country, what are the current multilateral regional and bilateral agreements and other regional mechanisms that include provisions on the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats? Your Response:

RQ53. (Partners, TF/WG) Please provide any suggestions you have on how existing multilateral regional and bilateral agreements, and other regional mechanisms, could be strengthened to deliver better outcomes for migratory waterbirds.

Your Response: EAAFP is ideally situated to lead in efforts to foster cooperation among national and international agreements, with CMS, CBD and Ramsar being Partners. Stronger cooperation to promote connectivity, ecological and institutional should be pursued among Partners, including IUCN.