

EAST ASIAN - AUSTRALASIAN FLYWAY PARTNERSHIP

3F G-Tower, 175 Art center-daero, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon 22004 Republic of Korea

Phone: +82 (0)32 458 6501 | Fax: +82 (0)32 458 6508 Email: secretariat@eaaflyway.net | www.eaaflyway.net

EAAFP MoP11 Reporting Template

Dear Partner,

At EAAFP MoP 10 in Hainan, China (2018), the Partnership adopted the EAAFP Strategic Plan 2019-2028. The Paper (Decision 1) included the proposed Reporting Template for MoP11. The purpose of the Reporting Template is to assess the achievement of specific actions identified in the EAAFP Strategic Plan 2019-2028.

The "Reporting Questions" are linked to Key Result Areas to enable an assessment of progress with the implementation of each element in the Strategic Plan. Indicators have been provided to facilitate reporting and access our achievements.

The Strategic Plan has the following attributes:

5 Objectives (as listed in the Partnership Document),

23 Key Result Areas (KRAs),

35 Indicators.

The reporting template has headings in three colors: Red (Partnership Objectives), Green (Key Result Areas identified in the Strategic Plan) and Blue (Indicators). The wording of the Objectives, Key Result Areas and Indicators were all accepted at MoP10.

Different Partner Groups, Working Groups, Task Forces, the Technical Sub-Committee and the Secretariat have differing roles and responsibilities. As such, each question identifies the Partner groups that are requested to respond to each question. Please focus on the questions that relate to the Reporting Group you are representing.

While the total number of questions is 53, the number of questions for each Partner Group, and each mechanism of the Partnership, is shown below:

Government	46 Questions (87%)
IGO	30 Questions (57%)
INGO	40 Questions (75%)
Corporate	29 Questions (55%)
Task Forces and Working groups	29 Questions (55%)
Technical sub-Committee	11 Questions (21%)
Secretariat	15 Questions (28%)

This Reporting Template has been sent to the Focal Point of each Partner, the Chair and Vice-Chair of each Working Group and Taskforce, the Chair of the Technical sub-Committee and the Secretariat.

Thank you,

Doug Watkins, Chief Executive, EAAFP Secretariat

The EAAFP Reporting Questions

General Information

1. Name of Reporting Group	BirdLife Internation	al
2. Reporting Group	☐ National Governments (Govt)	
	☐ Inter-Governmental Organizations (IGO)	
	☐ International Corporate (Corporate)	
	☐ Task Forces and Working Groups (TF/WG)	
	☐ Technical Sub-Committee (TsC)	
	☐ Secretariat (Sec	
	·	, specify:)
3-1. Designated EAAFP Focal Point	Name and title	: Vinayagan Dharmarajah
	Affiliation	: BirdLife International
	P.O. Box/Street	: 354 Tanglin Road, #01-16/17, Tanglin
	address	International Centre
	Postal Code	: Singapore 247672
	E-mail address	: Vinayagan.Dharmarajah@birdlife.org
	Telephone	:
	Website	: https://www.birdlife.org/asia/
3-2. Additional Designated EAAFP Focal	Name and title	: Ding Li Yong
Point (Optional)	Affiliation	: BirdLife International
	P.O. Box/Street	: 354 Tanglin Road, #01-16/17, Tanglin
	address	International Centre
	Postal Code	: Singapore 247672
	E-mail address	: Dingli.Yong@birdlife.org
	Telephone	÷
	Website	: https://www.birdlife.org/asia/
3-3. Additional Designated EAAFP Focal	Name and title	: Shelby Wee
Point (Optional)	Affiliation	: BirdLife International
	P.O. Box/Street	: 354 Tanglin Road, #01-16/17, Tanglin
	address	International Centre
	Postal Code	: Singapore 247672
	E-mail address	: Shelby.Wee@birdlife.org
	Telephone	:-
	Website	: https://www.birdlife.org/asia/
4. Report compiler	Name and title	: Ding Li Yong
	Affiliation	: BirdLife International
	P.O. Box/Street	
	address	
	Postal Code	:
	E-mail address	: Dingli.Yong@birdlife.org
	Telephone	:+65-98382675
	Website	

Reporting on the implementation of the EAAFP Strategic Plan 2018 - 2022

*Note: In the Reporting Template the term "Partners" includes Government, IGO, INGO, and Corporate Partners.

Objective 1 Develop the Flyway Network of sites of international importance for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, building on the achievements of the Asia-Pacific Migratory Waterbird Conservation Strategy, with the ultimate goal of establishing a sufficient and efficient network of sites with sustainable management. (FNS page: https://www.eaaflyway.net/the-flyway/flyway-site-network/)

- Supplementary information: <u>EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013</u>, <u>EAAFP Strategic Plan 2019-2028</u>

KRA 1.1 A comprehensive and coherent Flyway Network of Sites is developed for migratory waterbirds, including sites that are not currently Protected Areas.

Indicator 1.1.1 The Flyway Site Network has expanded to include at least 40 additional strategic internationally important sites for migratory waterbird conservation, some of which may not currently be a national Protected Area

important sites for migratory waters no conservation, some or which may not carrently	
RQ1. (Govt) Do you have a publicly accessible list of internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in your country? If yes, please provide the web link or the reference in the below box. If not, would you like assistance from other Partners to develop such a list (please let us know your opinion in the box right below)? Additional information:	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Planned
RQ2. (Govt) Have any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds been identified in your country? (for background, see <u>EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013</u>) If yes, please provide details on these sites. Additional information:	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Planned
Additional information:	
RQ3. (Non-Government Partners) Have you documented any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in the EAAF (see <u>EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013</u>)? If yes, please provide details on these sites.	✓ Yes☐ No☐ Planned
Additional information: BirdLife directly supported the governments of China and the Republic of Korea in their successful Phase I Yellow Sea World Heritage nominations, with inscription, respectively, in 2019 (China) and 2021 (Korea) and are currently supporting the Phase II nominations. Through the ADB-supported Regional Flyway Initiative, BirdLife together with EAAFP, Wetlands International and Paulson Institute (the latter two EAAFP partners) has undertaken a prioritisation exercise of potentially internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds, with a review of more than 400 wetland sites in 10 EAAF countries where data is available. At least 147 wetland sites were documented and prioritised, several which have the potential to become Flyway Network Sites in the future. Many of these poorly documented sites are in Southeast Asia, especially in Thailand, Vietnam, Indonesia and the Philippines.	
RQ4. (Govt) Have high priority candidate sites been identified for potential nomination to join the Flyway Site Network?	☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please provide details on these sites. If not, would you like assistance from other Partners?	☐ Planned
Additional information:	
RQ5. (Govt) Have any additional sites been nominated for the Flyway Site Network	☐ Yes
since MoP10 (December 2018)?	□ No
If yes or planned, please provide the names of these sites.	☐ Planned
Additional information:	
RQ6. (INGO, Corporate) Have you supported Government Partners with their	⊠ Yes
identification of high priority candidate sites for the potential nomination of the new	□ No
Flyway Site Network?	☐ Planned
If yes, please provide details of your support and the associated sites.	
Additional information:	
We have provided details of sites prioritised and identified to be important at the EAAI	
the EAAFP Secretariat as a result of the ADB-supported Regional Flyway Initiative (thro	•
exercise), as well as our country-focused work in Cambodia, Vietnam, Indonesia and M	lalaysia.
RQ7. (Govt) How many additional Flyway Network Sites do you anticipate there will	cito(c)
be in your country by 2025?	site(s)
Additional information:	
KRA 1.2 National and Site Partnerships have been developed to coordinate the implementation of the coordinate of the coo	entation of the EAAFP at
national and local levels.	
Indicator 1.2.1 Guidelines for the establishment and operation of national and site part	nerships have been
developed and agreed.	
Indicator 1.2.2 At least 50% of Government Partners have an active National Partnership	p and site partnerships have
been developed for at least 50% of the Flyway Network sites.	
Guidelines on National and Site Partnership will be presented for adoption at MoP11. As	such reporting on this KRA
will start for MOP12.	
KRA 1.3 Flyway Network Sites are valued by the community and sustainably managed.	
Indicator 1.3.1 At least 50% of Flyway Network Sites have current management plans the	nat address specific objectives
for the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats and that are being adeq	•
Management plans have stakeholder participation and are approved by relevant agencies	
RQ8. (Govt) Which Flyway Network Sites (FNS) in your country have a Management Plaupdated?	an and when is it due to be
Your Response:	

Indicator 1.3.2 At least 50% of Flyway Network Sites recognize the Flyway Site Network as a brand for the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats in the EAAF.

RQ9. **(Govt, INGO, IGO)** Please provide examples of how the "Flyway Site Network" brand is being recognized. Your Response:

The Flyway Site Network has been extensively and comprehensively included in the processes and outcomes of the ADB-supported Regional Flyway Initiative and presented to ADB-RFI stakeholders, including national governments and civil society stakeholders. BirdLife's in-country work with national partners on wetlands in several Southeast Asian countries regularly make references to the FNS network.

Indicator 1.3.3 All Partners are using and complying with International standards (<u>International Finance Cooperation</u> or equivalent) for development within and adjacent to FNS and other internationally important waterbird sites.

RQ10. (Govt, INGO, IGO) Have any public consultation processes been implemented	_
when a site of international importance for migratory waterbirds could be adversely impacted by a proposed development? If yes, please provide brief details on the site/s and if the development was approved.	YesNoPlannedNot known
Additional information:	

RQ11. **(Govt, INGO, IGO)** Please provide brief details on any sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds that may be adversely impacted by a proposed development and the assessment process that was used or is anticipated to be applied.

Your Response:

The **Batubara and Deli-Serdang coast** of Sumatra, Indonesia is affected by a major port development, and significant coastal reengineering. Significant areas of coastal mudflats may be affected. We have ongoing work in these sites in Sumatra, in coordination with the Sumatra Wild Heritage Foundation and the Spoon-billed Sandpiper Task Force of the EAAFP, and have undertaken thorough bird surveys and organised engagement activities with local communities.

In Australia, we are working with our Australia Partner, BirdLife Australia to profile the potential damage to the **Moreton Bay Ramsar Site** through the Toondah Harbour development, which impacts significant areas of intertidal mudflats. BirdLife Australia has assessed the site in recent years, its importance to migratory waterbirds and engaged local communities in and around Toondah Harbour.

In the Philippines, we have worked with local stakeholders to raise the profile of the **North Manila Bay** wetlands, which are immediately threatened by coastal development. These wetlands are being assessed by local stakeholders and NGOs.

In China, **Lianyungang Wetlands** are threatened by large scale redevelopment and land reclamation. We have worked with researchers and local conservation groups to raise the profile of this site in its importance for staging migratory shorebirds such as Asian Dowitchers, where more than 90% of the global population is known to stage. In Vietnam, BirdLife-supported surveys have found the **Hai Phong coastline** to be important for Spoon-billed Sandpipers, with up to eight individuals (2%) detected in the winter of 2021-2022. The Haiphong coast, especially at Cat Hai, is immediately threatened by a massive land reclamation project.

KRA 1.4 Where appropriate, Flyway Network Sites are being sustainably used to support subsistence livelihoods of the local community.

Indicator 1.4.1 Where local communities at Flyway Network Sites depend on the natural resources of the site to

support subsistence livelihoods, this is occurring without adverse impacts on migratory	waterbirds and their habitats.
RQ12. (Govt, INGO) In your country, are there examples of local communities at	⊠ Yes
Flyway Network sites that are dependent on the sites natural resources to support	□ No
subsistence livelihoods?	☐ Planned
If yes, please provide details on the site/s and the use of natural resources.	☐ Not known
Additional information:	
Not specifically in the country our regional office is located in. Several sites in the Mek	
Mottama (Myanmar), Mekong floodplain (Cambodia) and Gulf of Thailand coast (wher works in) are heavily used by local people for their livelihoods, through fisheries and shape of the state	·
works iii) are neavily used by local people for their livelinoous, through lisheries and si	lemisheries.
KRA 1.5 Partners and local stakeholders are engaged in responding to activities which m sites.	nay threaten Flyway Network
Indicator 1.5.1 The level of engagement of EAAFP Partners and local communities in res	ponding to threats to Flyway
Network Sites is reflected in the number of meetings and events held and the participar	nts attending.
RQ13. (Govt, INGO, Sec.) Are you aware of any Flyway Network Sites or other sites of	⊠ Yes
international importance for migratory waterbirds that are currently under threat?	□ No
If yes, please provide details.	☐ Planned
Additional information:	
Yes – coastal sites in Australia (Moreton Bay), Philippines (Manila Bay), Indonesia (N Su	matra coast) and Vietnam
(Mekong Delta sites) are threatened by coastal development. Many of these sites are a	as yet unrecognized as
Flyway Network Sites or Ramsar Sites.	
KRA 1.6 The EAAFP Sister Site Programme has expanded. Indicator 1.6.1 At least five new EAAFP Sister Site relationships have been developed.	
Guidelines on Sister Site will be presented for adoption at MoP11. As such reporting on t	his KRA will start for MOP 12.
KRA 1.7 The membership of the EAAFP has expanded to deliver stronger outcomes for retheir habitats.	nigratory waterbirds and
Indicator 1.7.1 Membership has increased.	
RQ14. (Sec.) Please provide a list of new Partners since the last MoP (December 2018)	
Your Response:	
Objective 2 Enhance communication, education, participation and public awareness (CE migratory waterbirds and their habitats.	:PA) of the values of
KRA 2.1 The achievement of the elements in the EAAFP CEPA Strategy and Action Plan (2019-2024).
Indicator 2.1.1 The CEPA Action Plan has been monitored, reviewed and updated as neo	essary to inform the EAAFP.
RQ15. (Partners, TF/WG) Does your country/organisation have a CEPA Program	⊠ Yes
addressing migratory waterbirds and internationally important sites for migratory	□ No
waterbirds?	☐ Planned
If yes, please provide brief details of the program.	

of priorities and activities that are being implemented by our Part		
and livelihoods, monitoring and development. The strategy also defines CEPA-related priorities for every country		
and territory BirdLife works in from Japan to Australia. The Strate	gy is now being evalu	ated, and preparation for
the next cycle of the strategy is now underway.		
RQ16. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your country/organisation made use	e of the FAAFP	⊠ Yes
CEPA Action Plan 2019-2024 when planning and implementing the		□ No
	0 02.77 000.77	☐ Planned
Additional information (any example of how the Action Plan was i	roflocted):	□ Flaillieu
We have referenced the CEPA Action Plan to define BirdLife priori	•	E Conservation Strategy
(2019-2022), and considered it carefully in the development of th		- ,
(2013-2022), and considered it carefully in the development of th	e ADB Regional Flywo	ay iiiitiative.
RQ17. (Govt, INGO, Corporate) What CEPA activities have taken p	lace at Flyway	
Network Sites and with which groups?		☐ Not applicable
If applicable (under a Sister Site agreement), please describe wha	t have you done	☐ Planned
and who have you worked with.		
Additional information (list of events and/or news/report links):		
Local engagement, migratory bird awareness activities (including	• ,	• •
organised at the Inner Deep Bay (Hong Kong SAR), Bako-Buntal (N	Malaysia), Gulf of Mot	tama (Myanmar), Anlung
Pring (Cambodia) and Pak Thale (Thailand) and Xuan Thuy Nation	al Park (Vietnam) by	the respective BirdLife
partner and project teams.		
RQ18. (Partners) Has your country/organisation developed,	☑ National and loc	cal governments
and/or been implementing awareness-raising programs,	☐ Education Depar	
particularly at Flyway Network Sites, with the following groups	Site managers Sit	,
(check all that apply)?	⊠ General public	
	∑ Schools/student	ts
	∠ Local communit	
	☐ Native/indigeno	
	☐ None	
	☐ Planned	
Additional information (please provide a detailed description of the		ing target groups, aims, and
major achievements):	(-,	0 - 0 - 0 - 1 - 1 - 1
The BirdLife International Partnership has organised awareness ra	nising activities in sev	eral Flyway Network Site
through World Migratory Bird Day. Awareness activities have been	n regularly organised	at all FNS that BirdLife
Partners work in, including Anlung Pring Sarus Crane Conservation Area (Cambodia), the Gulf of Mottama		
(Myanmar), and Bako-Buntal Bay (Malaysia), as are other sites in the region.		
DO10 (Doute out) Hos visure country (outcomission heated a visus)	v 14/0 vld 14:	∇ Vaa
RQ19. (Partners) Has your country/organisation hosted events for	- ,	⊠ Yes
Bird Day, World Wetlands Day or other international awareness-raising events since		□ No
the last MOP (December 2018)?		☐ Planned
Additional information (list of events and any news/report links):		
We have hosted World Migratory Bird Day webinars annually from 2019. World Migratory Bird Day activities have		

BirdLife has an active East Asian-Australasian Flyway Conservation Strategy (2019-2022) that covers the full range

Additional information (website links if any):

been organised by the respective BirdLife partner and project teams at the Bako-Buntal (Malaysia), Gulf of Mottama (Myanmar), Anlung Pring (Cambodia) and Pak Thale (Thailand) and Xuan Thuy (Vietnam). We have also organised regional webinars focusing on topics of flyway interest in the regional level, including shorebirds and illegal hunting, through the work of our EAAF committee, and in collaboration with the Oriental Bird Club.

RQ20. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organisation/group been			
engaging the public regularly through any media channels,	□ Newsletter of your organization/group		
including social media, to promote the conservation of	⊠ Social media (e.g., Facebook, Instagram,		
migratory waterbirds and the wetlands they use?	Twitter, Weibo, YouTube, and others)		
If yes, please specify the type of media channels by marking	□ Contribution to EAAFP eNewsletter		
boxes that apply.	☐ Other (please specify:)		
	□ No		
Additional information (links to media channels of your organization/group, the number of posts, and the number of views): Articles on the conservation of migratory species, especially Spoon-billed Sandpiper and Nordmann's Greenshank,			
and topics of high profile regional, including the UNESCO site non			
Yellow Sea sites have been regularly covered in the BirdLife Maga			
Regular posts on migratory species and their conservation have b			
Facebook, Twitter and Youtube. Up to 50 posts on migratory spec			
articles on our Website.			
Website			
https://www.birdlife.org/news/2021/10/14/3-billion-wetland-conservation-project-launched-for-birds-nature-			
people/			
Youtube			
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ljg9cQ9rGKA			
Facebook			
https://www.facebook.com/BirdLifeInternationalAsia			
RQ21. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG) Has there been any training or			
capacity building delivered to stakeholders involved in the	⊠ Government officers		
conservation of migratory birds and wetlands?	☐ Educators		
If yes, please specify the audience/participants by marking the	☐ Citizen		
boxes that apply.	☐ Other (please specify:)		
	□ No		

Additional information (please provide the number of events and participants, and describe any materials or other resources about the capacity building):

We have conducted several capacity building workshops for our BirdLife Partners online (including a two-day CEPA training workshop in webinar form) in 2021, and communications session over 2022.

In 2022, we organised wetland conservation workshops targeted at government officers, site managers and local people in Vietnam (2 days in Ho Chi Minh City, Sep 2022, and 1 day at Xuan Thuy National Park, Sep 2021 and 2022). In March 2019, BirdLife organised a 2-day Flyway Conservation Workshop in Bangkok, Thailand, in coordination with our partner, Bird Conservation Society of Thailand (BCST). The workshop engaged Southeast Asian Partners of BirdLife and conservationists, and representative of the EAAFP Task Force on bird hunting, taking and trade (through the Government of Cambodia). In Dec 2022, our Partner, BCST organised a training workshop

on the monitoring and estimating population size of the Spoon-billed Sandpiper in Pak Thale and Laem Phak Bia, Thailand. BirdLife presented at a seminar on lessons in migratory species conservation in Kuching, Malaysia, during World Migratory Bird Day 2022, at the invitation of the Government of Malaysia.

RQ22. (Govt, INGO, TF/WG) Please add below if your country/organisation has any other information regarding		
CEPA to report.		
Your Response:		
NA		
Objective 3 Enhance flyway research and monitoring	activities, build knowledge and	promote exchange of
nformation on waterbirds and their habitats.		
(RA 3.1 National monitoring systems to assess the standard and further enhanced.	tatus of migratory waterbirds and	d their habitats are established,
ndicator 3.1.1 A standardized monitoring methodology for migratory waterbirds and their habitat is developed and used in nationally coordinated monitoring programmes.		
RQ23. (Govt) Is there a program in your country to	monitor migratory waterbird	☐ Yes
numbers?		□ No
If yes, please provide details on the program, the ro	le of volunteer counters and the	□ Planned
monitoring efforts since MoP10 (December 2018).		
Additional information:		
RQ24. (INGO) In what countries is your		□ Cambodia
organisation involved in migratory waterbird		☐ China
and/or site monitoring (select all that apply)?	☐ Japan	☐ Bangladesh
Please provide details on the monitoring	☐ Philippines	□ Thailand
program(s) and monitoring efforts since MoP10	☐ Republic of Korea	☐ Mongolia
(December 2018).	☐ Democratic People's	☐ New Zealand
	Republic of Korea	
	☐ Russia	
	☐ Singapore	
	☐ United States of America	□ None
Additional information:	- Office States of America	□ None
BirdLife International has supported waterbird monitoring activities across all of mainland Southeast Asia through		
our in-country projects, in collaboration with partners and other stakeholders. BirdLife have focused on activities		
in Thailand, Malaysia, Cambodia, Vietnam, Indonesia, Myanmar and Hong Kong (China). BirdLife and our national		
partners have also contributed to national efforts to census Black-faced Spoonbill and Spoon-billed Sandpiper.		
parameter have also contributed to national errorts to census black faced spoon bill and spoon blined sampiper.		

Indicator 3.1.2 All country partners have nationally-coordinated monitoring programs in place.

KRA 3.2 Conservation status reviews for waterbird populations are produced and updated to set and adapt priorities for action.

Indicator 3.2.1 Data describing waterbird population estimates, trends and distributions are available to the Partnership.

RQ25. **(Govt, INGO, TF/WG, Sec.)** Please report briefly on data management in relation to migratory waterbird population estimates, trends and distributions.

Your Response:

BirdLife International has led and co-authored several papers and studies on the population estimates, status and trends of threatened waterbird species such as the Masked Finfoot, Nordmann's Greenshank, Spoon-billed Sandpiper, and other migratory shorebirds from 2019 and 2022. These studies have been widely disseminated.

Indicator 3.2.2 Two updates of waterbird population estimates have been produced and published.

RQ26. (Partners, TF/WG, Sec.) Please report on your contribution to the migratory waterbird Conservation Status Review.

Your Response:

BirdLife International have attended, and provided feedback to the compilation of the EAAF CSR1 led by Wetlands International. Data have been used for the ADB RFI, in coordination with the EAAFP Secretariat and Wetlands International.

KRA 3.3 Updated list of sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds for conservation management and prioritization.

Indicator 3.3.1 An updated list of sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds for conservation management and prioritization will be maintained by the Partnership.

RQ27. (Partners, Monitoring TF, Sec.) If you are aware of significant new information on internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds, please provide brief details.

Your Response:

Through the ADB-supported RFI site prioritisation work and our in-country projects, BirdLife International has worked with regional stakeholders to identify several sites of internationally important sites for waterbirds for several of the 10 countries involved in the Regional Flyway Initiative. BirdLife has conveyed the findings to the EAAFP Secretariat. BirdLife has also conveyed findings on surveys of the Red River and Mekong Delta coast wetlands to the Government of Vietnam through workshops and consultation activities.

KRA 3.4 A stronger understanding is developed on the anticipated impacts of climate change on waterbirds and their habitats and this is informing planning and site management.

Indicator 3.4.1 Improved knowledge about threats, including climate change impacts, on waterbirds and their habitats is shared and appropriate action taken where possible.

RQ28. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide details on key research on climate change impacts on migratory waterbirds and wetlands in the EAAF, published since MoP 10 (December 2018).

Your Response (please provide the web links if available online or reference for relevant publications): NA

KRA 3.5 Collaborative research programs are established to provide effective support for conservation and sustainable management efforts, particularly the sustainable use of resources for local livelihoods benefits.

Indicator 3.5.1 Research programs on improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes have increased.

RQ29. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide brief information on areas of research programs since the last MoP (2018) about improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes at internationally important sites for

migratory waterbirds.

Your Response:

BirdLife have worked with BCST and the Wildlife Conservation Society to develop ecology and tracking studies for Nordmann's Greenshank in the Inner Gulf of Thailand. BirdLife have worked with local experts to support long-term monitoring of shorebirds in the Mekong Delta and Red River Delta of Vietnam, and commenced exploratory surveys of the Cambodia, Malaysian Borneo and Sumatra coastlines for priority species with our Partners from 2021. BirdLife has undertaken research, including fieldwork, on spoon-billed Sandpiper, supported by RSPB, Birdlife in the UK.

BirdLife is co-coordinator of the World Coastal Forum Establishment Group which seeks to support stakeholders to establish a WCF to, *inter alia*, improve the conservation and sustainable management of coastal wetlands worldwide, including through promoting the development of an online World Coastal Conservation Toolkit.

Indicator 3.5.2 Knowledge generated is being applied in at least 50% of internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

RQ30. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please give examples of how knowledge generated through research programs on improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes is being applied at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

Your Response:

BirdLife has co-authored several reports on papers on important sites in the EAAF, and priority species. In 2020, we published a report detailed our field surveys of the Mekong Delta coastline. Some of these findings are being published in a new study soon to be out in *Wader Study*. Field data on migratory shorebirds in Bako-Buntal Bay in Sarawak was published in a paper in 2021 in *Wader Study*. Several Spoon-billed Sandpiper wintering sites have been discovered and reported in the EAAFP's Spoon-billed Sandpiper Task Force bulletin.

BirdLife has also led research on Spoon-billed Sandpiper that is being used in the management of Tiaozini, Yancheng, China.

KRA 3.6 Best practice guidelines for waterbird and habitat conservation programs, including the incorporation of traditional knowledge, are developed and made available.

Indicator 3.6.1 Best practice guidelines are available on the EAAFP website.

RQ31. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG) Please provide brief details on the development and application of best practice guidelines for waterbird and habitat conservation, including the application of traditional knowledge, published/made available since MoP10 (December 2018)?

Your Response:

Following from the answer above in RQ29, the Terms of Reference for the World Coastal Conservation Toolkit is being agreed.

BirdLife has interviewed local people through its situation analysis on the hunting of birds in the EAAF, and some of these findings is expected to be reported in new reports on bird hunting in the region.

RQ32. **(Sec.)** What are the best practice guidelines that are available on the EAAFP website? Your Response:

Objective 4 Build the habitat and waterbird management capacity of natural resource managers, decision makers and local stakeholders.

KRA 4.1 EAAFP promotes the use of the range of available training tools and provides assistance to address challenges at Flyway Network Sites.

Indicator 4.1.1 All Partners and Secretariat have mechanisms for capacity building in place to facilitate the sharing of knowledge, tools and experience.

•	
RQ33. (Sec.) Please provide updates on identifying/developing internet-based appro	aches for capacity building for
migratory waterbird conservation.	
Your Response:	
RQ34. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you been involved in identifying/developing	g 🛛 Yes
capacity building materials and opportunities?	□ No
If yes, please provide some details.	☐ Planned
Additional information:	
We have jointly developed training booklets and modules with our Partners in South	east Asia for site managers
and government officers. For instance, BirdLife has worked with national partners to	develop training modules for
government officials in Malaysia and Vietnam, both in national languages.	
RQ35. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you implemented activities to share skills	⊠ Yes
building, tools and experience?	□ No
If yes, please provide some details.	☐ Planned
Additional information:	
BirdLife has organised capacity-building workshops targeted at local people, site man	nagers and government
officers in several Southeast Asian countries. See above re: World Coastal Forum.	
RQ36. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Please provide feedback on the use you have ma	de of capacity building
materials and activities for migratory waterbirds and the management of their habit	at?
Your Response:	
Indicator 4.1.2 Partners and the Secretariat include capacity building assessment in pa	roiect proposals.
RQ37. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you considered a training needs assessmen	
in projects you have developed, funded, and/or implemented since MoP10	□ No
(December 2018)?	☐ Not applicable
If yes, please provide some additional information.	☐ Planned
Additional information:	
Yes. We are in parallel conducting a training needs assessment for wetland capacities	s in the EAAF, developed in
coordination through the ADB-supported RFI.	
Indicator 4.1.3 The EAAFP online technical training manual for Flyway Site management	nt is supported and used by at
least 50% of Flyway Site Managers.	
RQ38. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you used the EAAFP online technical	⊠ Yes
	□ No
training materials for Flyway Site management? Please provide some additional	☐ Planned
information on the usefulness of materials	□ Flatilieu

Additional information:

BirdLife is using the material to develop concept proposals for the ADB RFI for priority wetland sites.

KRA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP objectives has increased.

Indicator 4.2.1 The EAAFP implementation manual for Focal Points is produced and distributed, providing a set of resource materials for EAAFP implementation and awareness.

Indicator 4.2.2 At least one meeting of Partner Focal Points, including site managers, is held per annum.

RQ39. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.) Have you been able to participate in any Meetings of Partner Focal Points? If yes, have any new collaborations with other Partners been developed from the meeting/s? Please provide details.	✓ Yes☐ No☐ Planned
Additional information: New collaborations have developed in Cambodia, with a focus on the conservation of the Sarus Crane at the national level, with a focus on one FNS. Further activities are ongoing to advance national action plan for the species.	

Indicator 4.2.3 All Partner Focal Points are submitting their Partner reports prior to each MoP.

RQ40. (Partners, TsC, TF/WG, Sec.)	Have you been able to prepare your Partner report for the MoP? Have you
found any difficulties in producing ye	our report?

Your Response:

Yes

KRA 4.3 Corporates with operations impacting on migratory waterbirds are engaged in delivering better outcomes for the conservation of waterbirds and their habitats.

Indicator 4.3.1 An increased number of internationally important sites and programmes, in which Corporates are contributing to positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

RQ41. (Partners, TF/WG) Please provide details you have on corporate engagement at internationally important sites and in programs to develop positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

Your Response:

NA

Objective 5 Develop, especially for priority species and habitats, flyway wide approaches to enhance the conservation status of migratory waterbirds.

KRA 5.1 Partners are actively collaborating to develop approaches to conserve migratory waterbirds and their habitats in the EAAF across national boundaries.

Indicator 5.1.1 At least 50% of Partners are collaborating across national boundaries initiatives for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, particularly for threatened migratory waterbirds.

RQ42. (Partners, TF/WG) Please provide brief details on your transboundary involvement in international collaborative initiatives for threatened migratory waterbirds.

Your Response:

BirdLife has strengthened collaboration with the Governments of Vietnam, Thailand, Malaysia and Cambodia on

cooperation on migratory species. BirdLife currently collaborates with the EAAFP and Wetlands International, and several universities on the development of the ADB Regional Flyway initiative. BirdLife collaborates with the Convention on Migratory Species through the bird hunting task forces spanning CMS and the EAAFP, and co-convened it back in 2018 with Government and NGO founding members. BirdLife is co-coordinator with Eco-Foundation Global of the World Coastal Forum Establishment Group.

RQ43. (Partners, TF/WG) What do you consider to be the key innovative and/or improved approaches to the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats since MoP10 (December 2018)?

Your Response:

Regional Flyway Initiative (RFI) to mobilise large-scale financing for wetlands of international importance in 10 countries in Asia. World Coastal Forum Establishment Group. Task Force to address bird hunting in the EAAF.

KRA 5.2 Threatened migratory waterbirds are protected from threats and populations are stable or increasing.

Indicator 5.2.1 The Partnership, with leadership from IUCN, BirdLife International & Wetlands International, is updating and maintaining a list of threatened migratory waterbird populations and encouraging Government Partners to protect these threatened populations under national legislation.

RQ44. (INGO, TF/WG, Sec.) Please provide information on the development of a list of threatened migratory waterbird populations in the EAAF in which you have been involved.

Your Response:

BirdLife International has given feedback to Wetlands International in the development of EAAF CSR1, and have raised awareness of the CSR1 through the ADB-supported RFI.

raised awareness of the CSR1 through the ADB-supported RFI.		
RQ45. (Govt) Which populations of threatened migratory waterbirds are protected ur	nder legislation in your	
country?		
Your Response:		
RQ46. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organization been involved in taking actions to	⊠ Yes	
reduce direct threats to migratory waterbirds?	□ No	
If yes, please provide some examples.	☐ Planned	
Additional information:		
BirdLife International has developed projects and programmes of work to address illegal and unsustainable		
hunting in Cambodia and Myanmar. BirdLife has coordinated a situation analyses to assess the status of bird		
hunting across all of Southeast Asia		

Indicator 5.2.2 Single Species Action Plans are developed and implemented for threatened migratory waterbird species in the EAAF.

RQ47. (Partners, TF/WG) Please outline the contribution you have made to the development and implementation of Threatened Species Action Plans.

Your Response:

BirdLife International is supporting the development of a new SAP for Spoon-billed Sandpiper, led by the SBSTF. BirdLife has also provided input into the SAP of the Dalmatian Pelican population in East Asia. In collaboration with our partners, we have also contributed to, and shaped the development of the SAPs for Black-faced Spoonbill and Far Eastern Curlew.

Indicator 5.2.3 Populations of threatened migratory waterbirds are either stable or increasing.

RQ48. (Partners, TF/WG) Has your organization been involved in any program(s) to	⊠ Yes	
assess changes in the status of populations of threatened waterbirds?	□ No	
If yes, please provide details.	☐ Planned	
Additional information:		
BirdLife International oversees the coordination of data and review species for the IUCN Red List status for all bird		
species		

KRA 5.3 Regional Action Plans are developed and implemented for priority geographic regions of the EAAF.

Indicator 5.3.1 Development and implementation of Regional Action Plans for geographical regions with common critical threats in the EAAF.

RQ49. (Partners, TF/WG) What has been your involvement in the development and implementation of Regional Action Plans?

Your Response:

BirdLife has actively participated in the Indo-Burma Ramsar Initiative to support the development of its Regional Action Plan. BirdLife has worked with IUCN and subject matter experts to compile and draft the Yellow Sea situation analysis, published in 2022.

KRA 5.4 Measures to reduce and, as far as possible eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds are developed and implemented.

Indicator 5.4.1 All Government Partners have mechanisms in place to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds.

RQ50. **(Govt, TF on Task Force on Illegal Hunting, Taking and Trade of Migratory Waterbirds)** What mechanisms are in place to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds? Your Response:

KRA 5.5 The conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats is mainstreamed into national legislation and/or policy instruments including adaptation to the impacts of climate changes.

Indicator 5.5.1 All Government Partners have relevant national legislation and/or policy instruments include provisions on the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

RQ51. **(Govt)** In your country, what are the current key national legislation and policy instruments that have provisions that cover the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats?

Your Response:

KRA 5.6 The conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats is integrated into relevant multilateral and bilateral agreements and other regional mechanisms.

Indicator 5.6.1 Relevant environmental agreements recognise the EAAFP as an effective regional framework to conserve migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

RQ52. (Govt) In your country, what are the current multilateral regional and bilateral agreements and other

regional mechanisms that include provisions on the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats?	
Your Response:	

RQ53. **(Partners, TF/WG)** Please provide any suggestions you have on how existing multilateral regional and bilateral agreements, and other regional mechanisms, could be strengthened to deliver better outcomes for migratory waterbirds.

Your Response: