



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

Please submit the completed Report on Implementation to using the online (e.g. Survey monkey) format by 16 September 2018. The reporting period is from December 2017 to 16 September 2018

Introduction

The Report on Implementation is an important mechanism for EAAFP Partners, Working Groups and Task Forces to record and monitor their implementation of the EAAFP. As a result, Partners, WG and TF are encouraged to respond to all questions.

The deadline for submission is **16 September 2018** to allow the EAAFP Secretariat to analyze the results as a summary to be presented to MOP10. It will not be possible to include information from Reports received after that date.

The Report template is available in an online format and as a Word format. Partners are requested to submit their final Report using the online format so as to facilitate analysis by the Secretariat.

The structure of the MOP10 Report Format is in four sections:

- Section A is to provide information about the Partner, WG and TF, and details about the contact person for implementation of the Partnership.
- Section B allows Partners, WG and TF to provide a summary of their progress with implementation and recommendations for the future.
- Section C provides 33 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Outcome in the EAAFP Implementation Strategy 2012-2016, and with an optional text section where Partner may add further information if they wish.
- Section D is a voluntary section for Country Partners to provide more information about the Flyway Network Sites in their country

After the Report has been completed, Partners, WG and TF are requested to submit it using the online format.

Please submit the completed Report on Implementation to using the online (Survey Monkey) format by **16 September 2018**. The reporting period is from December 2016 to 16 September 2018



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

A. Institutional Information

1. Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force

Name of Partner, Working Group or Task Force:[Democratic People's Republic of Korea]

Type of Partner:

National Governments[x]

Inter-Governmental Organisations []

International Non-Governmental Organisations []

International Private Enterprise []

Name, title and contact details of designated contact person(s):

Person 1	Name:Hyong Chol Ri
	Position:Liaison, UNDP Pyongyang Office in DPR Korea
	Address:Munsu-Dong, Taedonggang District, Pyongyang, DPR Korea
	Email:<hyong.chol.ri@one.un.org>
	Phone:
Person 2 (if needed)	Name: Kyong Sim Ri
	Position:External Economy and Cooperation Department, Ministry of Land and Environment Protection
	Address:Kwanbok-Dong, Mangyongdae District, DPRK
	Email:<guktodae@star-co.net.kp>
	Phone:00-850-2-1811-381-8340
Person 3 (if needed)	Name:
	Position:
	Address:
	Email:
	Phone:
Person 4 (if needed)	Name:
	Position:
	Address:
	Email:
	Phone:

Name, title and contact details of the person completing this form:

Name: Myong Hyok Ho
Position: Ministry of Land and Environment Protection
Address: Address:Kwanbok-Dong, Mangyongdae District, DPRK
Email:<guktodae@star-co.net.kp>
Phone:00-850-2-1811-381-8340

B. General Summary of Implementation: Progress and Challenges



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China

9-14 December 2018

1. What have been the most successful aspects of your implementation of the Partnership (up to five points)
 - a. To become new partner of EAAFP
 - b. Two designated Flyway Network Sites
 - c. New initiative to conserve the West/Yellow Sea
 - d. Increased awareness on the challenges of the flyway
 - e. Increased and closer cooperation of the partners along the flyway

2. What have been the main challenges in your implementation of the Partnership (up to five points)
 - a. Lack of funding resources
 - b. Lack of attention on the challenges of the flyway
 - c. Lack of awareness on the challenges of the flyway
 - d.
 - e.

3. What are the future priorities for your implementation of the Partnership (up to five points)
 - a. FNS conservation
 - b. Single Species Conservation Activity
 - c. CEPA
 - d. Increased collaboration for West/Yellow Sea Intertidal Flat Conservation
 - e. Increased connection of all partners of the flyway

4. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from the EAAFP Secretariat?

The EAAFP Secretariat has been doing great job for facilitating the collaboration between the partners and improving the conservation status of the EAAF. However, it is needed to provide more technical and financial supports for the conservation activities in the countries in the Flyway.

5. Do you have any recommendations on the support needed from other Partners, Working Groups or Task Forces?

It is necessary for the partners to have more opportunities for information-exchange between other partners. And it is desirable if the DPRK experts participate in the Working Group and Task Force.

6. Do you have any other general comments on implementation of the Partnership?



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China

9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 1: Develop the Flyway Network of sites of international importance for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, building on the achievements of the APMWCS networks.

Outcome 1: Internationally important sites are identified for all migratory waterbirds across the Flyway and prioritized for conservation and inclusion in the Flyway Site Network.

1.1.1 Have you been involved in developing a list of internationally important sites to support the life cycles of the Flyway's waterbirds? (Secretariat, WGs, INGOs and/or Monitoring Task Force)

Yes No Not applicable

1.1.2 Have you been involved in prioritization of the above sites (1.1) for nomination in the FSN and to review/revise this list at each successive MoP? (Secretariat / Consultant / Monitoring Task Force)

Yes No Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

1.1.3a How many new Flyway Network Sites have you been involved in designating since the last reporting period? (National Government Partners)

2 Please indicate number Not applicable

Mundok Migratory Bird Reserve and Kumya Migratory Bird Reserve in DPRK were designated as FNS I 2018.

1.1.3b How many new Flyway Network Sites do you intend to designate between MOP10 and MOP11? (National Government Partners)

1 Please indicate number Not applicable

Preparation for the designating the Rason Migratory Bird Reserve is currently under the progress.

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 1: Develop the Flyway Network of sites of international importance for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, building on the achievements of the APMWCS networks.

Outcome 2: The management of internationally important sites demonstrates sound



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China

9-14 December 2018

integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities.

1.2.1 Have you helped to make available management guidelines and case studies to enhance the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats, emphasizing participatory management processes and benefits to local communities? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, with support from all Partners)

Yes No Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

1.2.2a During the reporting period, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds have you been involved in developing, implementing, review or updating? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

Yes If “Yes”, please indicate the number of management plans
 No Not applicable

Management Plan for Mundok Migratory Bird Reserve is under the progress of preparation

1.2.2b Between now and MOP11, how many management plans for FNS and other internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds do you intend to develop, implement, review or update? (National Government Partners; Subnational: Local Government with support from INGOs)

Yes 3 If “Yes”, please indicate the number of management plans
 No Not applicable

Management plans for Mundok, Kumya and Rason Migratory Bird Reserves

1.2.3 Have you helped to develop collaboration with other international initiatives relating to the management of migratory waterbird habitat? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

Yes No Not applicable

The DPRK was engaged in the development of the collaboration for the Regional Initiative for the transboundary intertidal flat conservation in West/Yellow Sea.

1.2.

4 Have you helped to develop model projects at Flyway Network Sites to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs, and contributing to sound integration of wetland biodiversity conservation and sustainable development that benefits local communities? (National Government Partners)



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

Yes No Not applicable

DPRK has an experience for implementing the Asia Waterbird Conservation Fund project in Mundok Migratory Bird Reserve for the awareness-raising of the local population.

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 1: Develop the Flyway Network of sites of international importance for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, building on the achievements of the APMWCS networks.

Outcome 3: The ecological, social and economic values of sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds are recognized in development and impact assessment processes.

1.3.1 Are you working to mainstream migratory waterbird conservation into national policies, plans and programmes, facilitated by National Partnerships? (National Government Partners)

Yes No Not applicable

It is the National policy to designate the national migratory bird reserves in order to conserve the migratory birds and their habitats in the country.

1.3.

2 Have you been working to adapt and make available guidelines and case studies on development and impact assessment processes relevant to network sites and their catchments? (Secretariat, INGOs, National Government Partners)

Yes No Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 2: Enhance communication, education and public awareness of the values of migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

Outcome 4: There is a high level of awareness and recognition of the ecological, social and economic values of migratory waterbirds and Network sites.

2.4.1 Are you using the flyway-wide CEPA strategy at national and site levels as ongoing guidance for the awareness and communication activities of the Flyway Partnership? (All Partners)



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

Yes No Partly Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

2.4.2 Have you been involved in the production of CEPA resources and have made these available to the Secretariat for distribution more widely? (CEPA WG, Secretariat, supported by all Partners)

Yes No Not applicable

Leaflet "Kumya Migratory Bird Reserve", calendar "Migratory Birds", and documentary film "Wetlands in DPRK" were developed and shared with EAAFP Secretariat, which is available in the EAAFP website.

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 2: Enhance communication, education and public awareness of the values of migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

Outcome 5: There is a broad level of recognition of the activities and achievements of the Flyway Partnership.

2.5.1 Are your Partnership activities being implemented in line with the CEPA Strategy?

Yes No Partly Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

2.5.2a Are you working to support the development and strengthening of national and sub-regional partnerships as mechanisms for delivery of the EAAFP Implementation Strategy?

Yes No Partly Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

2.5.2b If there are national and/or sub-regional partnerships, please indicate the number of meetings that have been held since the last reporting period

1] Number of national or sub-regional meetings held Not applicable

National Workshop was held in May 2018 in order to develop and strengthen the national partnership related to the migratory bird and wetland conservation.



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China

9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 3: Enhance flyway research and monitoring activities, build knowledge and promote exchange of information on waterbirds and their habitats.

Outcome 6: Scientifically sound information is available on the flyway-wide status and trends of waterbird populations and their habitats.

3.6.1 Have you been involved in collaboration and integration activities to increase assessment and monitoring programmes to provide scientifically sound information on the status and trends of migratory waterbird populations?

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Number of field surveys on migratory birds in important wetlands along the coastline of the East and West Sea as the preparation phase of the "Wetland Inventory of DPRK". And there were other field surveys in some of the important wetlands (e.g. Mundok, Kumya, Rason, etc.) under the cooperation with other international organizations.

3.6.2 Have you been involved in developing wetland assessment programmes for any of the Task Force priority regions to provide information on the status of migratory waterbird habitats?

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

3.6.3 Have you been involved in gathering updated information on the status and threats to internationally important sites and to Flyway Network sites in particular?

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Important information and data on the status and threats to more than 50 important wetlands were upgraded during the development of the "Wetland Inventory of DPRK".

3.6.4 Have you been involved in identifying the key threatening processes to migratory waterbirds in the EAAF, and compiling technical briefs for the EAAFP website to illustrate examples of best practice mitigation measures?

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 3: Enhance flyway research and monitoring activities, build knowledge and promote exchange of information on waterbirds and their habitats.

Outcome 7: Information is available on the internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in all countries of the Flyway.

- 3.7.1 Have you been involved in providing input or analyzing count data to identify internationally important sites and gaps for migratory waterbirds in the Flyway and inform conservation measures? (Wetlands International and BirdLife International, with input from National Government Partners and Working Groups)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

- 3.7.2 Have you been involved in surveys to prioritize knowledge gaps at internationally important sites and to progressively address those gaps? (National government Partners, EAAFP WGs)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

- 3.7.3 Have you been involved in making data available on internationally important sites and share that data amongst Partners, such as through the EAAFP website? (Secretariat, other Partners)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

"Wetland Inventory of DPRK" is available on EAAF website for information sharing.

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 3: Enhance flyway research and monitoring activities, build knowledge and promote exchange of information on waterbirds and their habitats.

Outcome 8: Knowledge of the ecology and migratory strategies of waterbirds is enhanced to support conservation action.

- 3.8.1 Have you been involved in implementing international collaborative research projects for migratory waterbirds to better understand the connectivity across the Flyway and inform development of the Flyway Site Network? (All Partners)



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

3.8.2 Have you been involved in improving the knowledge base on migratory waterbirds for the three priority subregions, i.e. the Yellow Sea and Amur/Heilong Basin? (Task Forces for these regions, including relevant Government Partners)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

The representatives of the country participated in the West /Yellow Sea Working Group Meetings.

3.8.3 Have you been involved in reviewing and developing the Migratory Waterbird Marking Protocols for migratory waterbirds? (Task Force on Colour Marking)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 3: Enhance flyway research and monitoring activities, build knowledge and promote exchange of information on waterbirds and their habitats.

Outcome 9: Knowledge of the potential role of migratory waterbirds in disease transmission, especially Avian Influenza, is enhanced.

3.9.1 Have you been involved in international collaborative projects of surveillance programmes at Flyway Network Sites and other important sites to increase the understanding of avian influenza (and other relevant zoonotic diseases) and their impacts on migratory waterbirds? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

3.9.2 Have you been involved in enhancing the network for communication on migratory waterbirds and disease related-issues amongst environment and wildlife agencies and researchers, and other relevant partners? (APWG-MWAI)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

Optional text box for further information

3.9.3 Have you been involved in the dissemination, review or update of guidelines for improved management planning of wetlands of international importance for waterbirds, aimed at reducing the risk of disease? (Partners, APWG-MWAI)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 4: Build the habitat and waterbird management capacity of natural resource managers, decision makers and local stakeholders

Outcome 10: Natural resource managers, decision makers and local stakeholders at internationally important sites have the skills and support to enable sustainable management of waterbird habitats.

4.10.1 Have you been involved in capacity development and training programmes that address needs in the areas of migratory waterbird monitoring and conservation, habitat management, sustainable development, and communication, education and public awareness, and participatory (CEPA) methods? (All Partners)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, migratory waterbird monitoring training programme;	<input type="checkbox"/> [1] number
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, migratory waterbird conservation training programme;	<input type="checkbox"/> [1] number
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, habitat management training programme;	<input type="checkbox"/> [] number
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, sustainable development training programme;	<input type="checkbox"/> [] number
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, CEPA training programme;	<input type="checkbox"/> [1] number
<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> In progress <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable	

There was migratory waterbird monitoring programme for the conservation of Baer's Pochard; migratory waterbird conservation training programme in China; and CEPA trainings in Philippines.

4.10.2 Have you been involved in establishing site based or national capacity building programmes to facilitate the ongoing management of migratory waterbirds and their habitats? (National Partners)

Yes No In progress Not applicable



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China

9-14 December 2018

IUCN Workshop on conservation of intertidal flat in West Sea in June 2017 contributed to the national capacity-building related to the migratory birds and wetland conservation amongst policy-makers, relevant officials from in-line ministries and experts. Awareness-raising activities targeting the local managers through the Asia Waterbird Conservation Fund project also contributed to the local-level awareness and field management.

C. Indicator questions on ongoing and future implementation

Objective 5: Develop, especially for priority species and habitats, flyway wide approaches to enhance the conservation status of migratory waterbirds.

Outcome 11: Collaborative flyway-wide actions for waterbird species and habitats have improved the conservation status of priority species.

5.11.1 Have you been involved in developing new, or ongoing collaborative projects across the ranges of priority species of migratory waterbirds? (All Partners)

Yes If “Yes”, the number of such projects, including sister-site projects

No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information

5.11.2 Have you been involved in producing or implementing International Single Species Action Plans for globally threatened species and populations of migratory waterbirds to act as a flagship for wetland conservation? (All Partners)

Yes No In progress Not applicable

Optional text box for further information



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

D. Indicator questions on the status of Flyway Network Sites (FNS)

The voluntary Section D is to allow Country Partners to update the information on the status of their existing Flyway Sites. Please refer to the status of Flyway Network Sites on the following webpage (<https://eaaflyway.net/about-us/the-flyway/flyway-site-network/>) to fill in the questionnaires below. As discussed and agreed at MOP 9, we would like to highly encourage you to update and submit the outdated and missing SIS and maps, and we would be grateful if you can complete Section D before 16 September 2018. The Secretariat will facilitate an analysis provided in the SIS and present the result of the FNS status at MOP 10.

Country name: [Democratic People's Republic of Korea] Number of FNS: [2]

Num	Name of FNS	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4a	Q4b	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12
1	Mundok Migratory Bird Reserve	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y
2	KumyaMiratory Bird Reserve	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
3														
Please add more rows if needed														

*Please write down Yes (Y) or No (N) above table depending the questions.

Q1. Does the site have a Site Information Sheet (SIS)?

Yes No

Q2. FNS should update their Site Information Sheets every six years. Does the Site have an update SIS?

Yes No

Q3. Does the Site have a site management committee that includes local community members and stakeholders?

Yes No

Q4a. Does the Site have a site management plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?

Yes No

(Mundok Migratory Bird Reserve)



Report on Implementation

Submitted to the 10th Meeting of Partners Changjiang, P.R. China
9-14 December 2018

Q4b. If the site has a management plan, what is the starting date of the present Plan (Key Result Area 2.2)?
[2009]

Q5. Does the Site have an active CEPA programme (Key Result Area 4.1)?
 Yes No

Q6. Does Site staff have access to relevant capacity development and training programmes (Key Result Area 10.1)?
 Yes No

Q7. Does the Site have a regular monitoring programme to assess the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.3)?
 Yes No

Q8. Have the results of the monitoring on trends of the status of the site and its migratory waterbirds been shared with the EAAFP Secretariat and Partners (Key Result Area 7.3)?
 Yes No

Q9. Are there any possible, actual or future threats to the Site and its migratory waterbirds (Key Result Area 6.4)?
 Yes No

Q10. If so, have these threats been reported to the EAAFP Secretariat (Key Result Area 6.4)?
 Yes No

Q11. Have model projects been developed at the Site with the full involvement of related national and site partnerships, to address key threatening processes, social and economic needs. (Key Result Area 2.4)?
 Yes No

Q12. Has an assessment of the ecological, social and economic values of the Site been conducted (Outcome 3)?
 Yes No

Q13. Additional information
[optional text box]